	1	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
	2	FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO			
	3	000			
	4	CHINO BASIN MUNICIPAL	WATER DISTRICT,)	
	5		Plaintiff,)	
	6	vs.) Case No. RCVRS51010	
	7	CITY OF CHINO, et al.	,)	
	8		Defendants.)	
	9			.)	
	10	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF ORAL PROCEEDINGS			
	11	BEFORE HON. STANFORD E. REICHERT, JUDGE			
	12	DEPARTMENT C-1			
	13	CHINO, CALIFORNIA Friday, January 21, 2011			
ŷ,	14	ti			
	15	APPEARANCES:			
	16	For Chino Basin	BROWNSTEIN, HYATT, FARBER, SCHRECK		
	17	Watermaster:	BY: MICHAEL FIFE Attorney at Law 21 East Carrillo Street		
	18			Street California 93101	
	19	For the Agricul- tural Pool:	REID & HELLYER		
	20	tural POOI:	BY: STEVEN G. LEE Attorney at Law 3880 Lemon Street, 5th Floor		
	21		Post Office Box	1300	
	22	The March of Milaton		fornia 92502-1300	
	23	For Monte Vista Water District:	MCCORMICK, KIDMA BY: JONATHAN D		
	24			Drive, Ste. 100	
	25	COPY	Costa Mesa, Cal:	IIOrnia 92626	
	26	(Appearances continued on following page.)			
	27				
	28	Reported by:	VICTORIA E. VILI Official Reporte	LEGAS, CSR NO. 9843	

1	(Appearances Continued:)				
2	For Three Valleys Municipal Water District:	BRUNICK, MCELHANEY & BECKETT BY: STEVEN M. KENNEDY			
3		Attorney at Law 1839 Commercenter West			
4		San Bernardino, California 92408			
5	For Cucamonga Valley Water District:	BEST, BEST & KRIEGER BY: JILL N. WILLIS Attorney at Law 300 S. Grand Avenue, 25th Floor Los Angeles, California 90071			
6					
7					
8	For the City of Chino:	JAMES E. ERICKSON Attorney at Law			
9		12616 Central Avenue Chino, California 91710			
10	Also Present:	KEN MANNING			
11		DAVID CROSLEY			
12					
13					
14					
15 16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
Į					

1	CHINO, CALIFORNIA; FRIDAY, JANUARY 21, 2011			
2	A.M. SESSION			
3	DEPARTMENT C-1 HON. STANFORD E. REICHERT, JUDGE			
4	(Appearances as heretofore noted on the title page)			
5	-000-			
6	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Let me call, it's			
7	actually matters number four and five on our calendar this			
8	morning, for the Chino Basin Municipal Water District versus			
9	City of Chino case.			
10	Come on up, please, Mr. Fife.			
11	MR. FIFE: Good morning, your Honor. Michael Fife			
12	for Chino Basin Watermaster.			
13	THE COURT: Thank you.			
14	And we have appearances by everybody else so let me			
15	just get them all on the record. Let me start here and then			
16	we'll work back.			
L7	MR. LEE: Steven Lee of Reid and Hellyer on behalf			
L8	of the Agricultural Pool.			
L9	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.			
20	MR. SALMON: Jonathan Salmon from McCormick, Kidman			
21	and Behrens on behalf of Monte Vista Water District.			
22	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.			
23	MR. KENNEDY: Morning, your Honor. Steve Kennedy on			
24	behalf of Three Valleys Municipal Water District.			
25	THE COURT: Got it. Thank you.			
26	MS. WILLIS: Good morning, your Honor. Jill Willis			
27	on behalf of Cucamonga Valley Water District.			
28	THE COURT: Thank you.			

1 MR. ERICKSON: Good morning, your Honor. Jim 2 Erickson on behalf of the City of Chino. 3 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. MR. MANNING: Your Honor, I'm not an attorney. 4 5 at Watermaster, Ken Manning. THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Manning, appreciate that. 6 7 Thank you. 8 And? 9 MR. CROSLEY: My name is David Crosley. I'm the 10 water manager for the city of Chino. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much. All right. 11 12 I think we've got everyone identified for the record. 13 On the calendar for today is the motion to reappoint 14 the nine-member Watermaster Board for a further five-year The court has read the motion and considered it. The 15 term. 16 court has received no opposition and is not aware of any, so the court's ready to grant the motion. 17 18 Is there any additional argument, Mr. Fife? MR. FIFE: No, your Honor, there is no opposition. 19 20 Watermaster also knows of no opposition. 21 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. And I take it there is no opposition from any of the attorneys appearing 22 23 this morning. Any hands? All right. No hands. 24 All right. The motion's granted and the nine-member 25 Watermaster Board is reappointed for a further five-year term. 26 MR. FIFE: Thank you, your Honor. THE COURT: You're welcome. Is there anything else 27

28

I need to do today, Mr. Fife?

MR. FIFE: Nothing else, except there were a couple of matters that we had at the last hearing talked about discussing at this hearing, and I thought I'd give you an update on those.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

MR. FIFE: And then we would like to schedule our next hearing for sometime in late April, and I'll cover that when we get to that item.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. FIFE: The first issue is a restatement of the judgment. At the last hearing you asked Watermaster to prepare a restatement that would put all of the amendments that have happened since 1978 into one document.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. FIFE: We are in the process of doing that. That process is nearly complete. I'd say it's 90 to 95 percent complete.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. FIFE: In the course of doing it, we did come upon some issues that were a little bit more complicated than we thought they were. An example of that, just so that you can get a sense of it, is one of the attachments to the judgment is a list of all of the members of the Agricultural Pool. That has never been updated since 1978.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. FIFE: And so you can imagine that most of the people on that list are no longer in the basin, and instead there are a lot of people who have purchased the property,

inherited the property, etcetera, who are in the basin.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. FIFE: And so we need to figure out who should not be on that list and who should be on that list. It's a lengthy list with literally hundreds of names on it, so something like that takes time.

THE COURT: I understand.

MR. FIFE: And a committee of the Agricultural Pool has been created. They're working with Watermaster diligently to complete that task.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. FIFE: And so it is things of that nature that we want to make sure are complete so that when we come to the court with the full -- with the restated judgment, it's a complete document and will be in a position for the court to approve it. So that's why we don't have it today.

THE COURT: I understand completely. As a practical matter, how do you go about doing that? I mean, are you going through property records or the old grantor/grantee indexes that I remember from when I first started out practicing as a -- how do you actually approach a task like that?

MR. FIFE: And I have the luck of not being involved in that committee.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. FIFE: So I'm not exactly sure how they are going to. I think Mr. Manning could --

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. MANNING: Your Honor, yes. It is a very lengthy

process. We asked for assistance from the Agricultural Pool. We are very fortunate that the members of the Agricultural Pool have a very long history in this basin, and we can acquire the names of those who are owners by going to the assessor's parcel maps and doing it through a database search of parcels that are currently used by the Agricultural Pool. The problem is is that most of those or a lot of the members who -- people who own property are not those who are actually pumping. We need to know the names of people who are actually pumping water along with the owners. So we're working with the Agricultural Pool. And we've set up a series of five meetings -- we've held two already -- where we are systematically going through every property in the basin that is agriculturally used that we have record of wells being on and determining -- we supply the name of the owner and the Ag Pool because of their long history in the basin is supplying us with what they know about who's actually occupying and pumping the water.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. MANNING: So we're comparing this but it takes hours and hours. So we've had two meetings so far and we've covered about 30, 40 percent of the basin so far.

THE COURT: Congratulations for making that progress.

MR. MANNING: It's something we needed to do a long time ago and your order has provided us the impetus to get that done.

THE COURT: Once you get it done will we have a

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

process in effect to routinely update this so we don't find ourselves in this position again of having to go back years and years and years? Because as times change institutional knowledge diminishes and it could be a problem in the future. So are we, you, someone, thinking of a mechanism to keep this updated routinely?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

MR. MANNING: Yes. That's part of the discussion. And we're fortunate then that we have the -- technology allows us to do that now effectively. Going back to 1978 and the early part of the 80's, you know, 90's, all the way up to there, there was no database or technology that would allow for you to do that. You'd have to have done hand searches. You'd have to have gone down to the courthouse, pull up the maps, do all those kinds of things. Today you can do all of that by subscribing to services that provide you with the names and then cross-checking that against. And then we have people who go out in the field. So we're putting together a process working with the Ag Pool on a basis -- a continual basis to continually update that database so that it stays accurate.

THE COURT: Thank you. I do appreciate that.

I remember as a young lawyer trying to track down the title of property when I was actually in the recorder's office in Los Angeles County going through the grantor/grantee indexes.

MR. MANNING: Painful.

THE COURT: It took forever. And that was through just one piece of property.

And I also remember visiting Title Insurance and Trust on Spring Street and advising them that the records were wrong, and they were -- that was an unusual experience as well having done the leg work myself. So I appreciate the time and effort you're putting in. Congratulations on the progress you've made so far. Keep up the good work.

MR. MANNING: Thank you.

THE COURT: And we will address that at the next hearing then.

MR. FIFE: Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

MR. FIFE: The other item is the next phase of the desalter project.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. FIFE: And this, again, was something we discussed at the last hearing and we discussed it in our paperwork for the reappointment of the nine-member board. The completion of the desalter project as described in the Optimum Basin Management Program has always been of great interest to the court.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. FIFE: And so we want to make sure you're aware. Though we were not ready to bring that for court approval at this hearing, we have been making diligent progress. There are a set of agreements in place that will accomplish that third phase of the project. The primary issue from a timing sense that is outstanding is that there are some funding issues that require commitments from the Metropolitan Water

District of southern California.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. FIFE: That's outside of our control. We do believe that the commitments that we need to be made will be made by Metropolitan, but they haven't been made yet. And so we're still waiting on that. The consensus of the desalter group, and that's the parties who are involved in the Chino Desalter Authority, is that by the end of April we should have all of that in place and be ready for a court hearing for approval of the agreements --

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. FIFE: -- that are necessary to have court approval. And that will finalize the institutional side of the third phase of the desalter project.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. FIFE: And it can then move forward to implementation. So we'd like to schedule a hearing right now for the end of April. I understand you want to keep these hearings on Fridays.

THE COURT: I do. It's best for me. Given all the other calendar matters I handle, Fridays at 10:30 is still best for me. I take it -- I see general nodding in the audience -- that's still acceptable with counsel, at least for those who have appeared today. And so -- but I might be gone one day on Friday. Give me just a moment.

MR. FIFE: Sure.

THE COURT: One Friday in April. Give me just a moment. Yes, April 8 would not be good for me, but any other

1 Friday. 2 MR. FIFE: Okay. I think we were thinking end of April anyway. I see that April 22nd is Good Friday. Is that 3 4 a court day, the 22nd? 5 THE COURT: Yeah, we're open on that day. б MR. FIFE: How about April 22nd? THE COURT: April 22nd it is. Okay. We'll schedule 7 8 the next status hearing, then, on this matter for -- and any 9 other motions that you have for April the 22nd, 10:30 a.m. 10 here in this department, and order Watermaster then to give 11 notice in its usual procedure. 12 MR. FIFE: Thank you. 13 THE COURT: Anything further? 14 MR. FIFE: Nothing further. THE COURT: Anything further from any of the members 15 of the audience? Seeing no hands, thank you all for coming 16 17 and we'll see you back in April. 18 MR. FIFE: Thank you, your Honor. 19 THE COURT: Thank you very much. 20 MR. FIFE: Did we submit a proposed order? 21 THE COURT: I was looking for one. Give me just a 22 moment. 23 MR. FIFE: And I actually can't remember whether we 24 submitted it. 25 THE COURT: No. 26 MR. FIFE: Okay. We can either at your pleasure 27 draft an order for you to sign, or we can simply do a notice

28

of your oral ruling.

THE COURT: Probably a written order would be best given the fact that this is a significant decision we'll have effective for years rather than just a minute order. So if you will -- you will draft one, I'll sign it. MR. FIFE: Okay. THE COURT: Okay. That will conclude the hearing. (Proceedings in the above-entitled matter were continued until Friday, April 22, 2011, at 10:30 a.m.) --000--

1	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
2	FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO			
3	000			
4	CHINO BASIN MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT,)			
5	Plaintiff,)			
6	vs.	Case No. RCVRS51010		
7	CITY OF CHINO, et al.,	REPORTER'S		
8	Defendants.)	CERTIFICATE		
9				
10	I, VICTORIA E. VILLEGAS, CSR, Official Reporter of			
11	the above-entitled court, do hereby certify:			
12	That I am a Certified Shorthand Reporter of the			
13	State of California, duly licensed to practice; that I did			
14	report in Stenotype oral proceedings had upon hearing of the			
15	aforementioned cause at the time and place hereinbefore set			
16	forth; that the foregoing pages numbered 1 through 10,			
17	inclusive, constitute to the best of my knowledge and belief a			
18	full, true, and correct computer-aided transcription from my			
19	said shorthand notes so taken for the date of Friday, January			
20	21, 2011.			
21	Dated at Chino, California, this 27th day of			
22	January, 2011.			
23				
24				
25				
26	Motories Villages CSR			
27	Official Reporter, CSR No. 9843			